DEC 0 6 2006 IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Appl. No.

10/816,401

Confirmation No. 9605

Applicant

Uttam K. Sengupta, et al.

Filed

March 31, 2004

TC/A.U.

2688

Examiner

Rampuria, Sharad K.

Docket No.

42P19078

Customer No.:

008791

Commissioner For Patents

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as first class mail with sufficient postage in an envelope addressed to Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 14. Adaptation Visional and Provider of the Patents, P.O. Box 14. Adaptation Visional and Provider of the Patents, P.O. Box 14. Adaptation Visional and Provider of the Patents, P.O. Box 14. Adaptation Visional and Provider of the Patents, P.O. Box 14. Adaptation Visional and Provider of the Patents of the Pat

30/06

elle LEvan

WWW. Slane

Date

<u>Pursuant To 37 C.F.R. §1.131</u>

Sir:

- I, Uttam K. Sengupta, hereby declare that:
- 1. Nikhil M. Deshpande, Robert Knauerhase and I are the co-inventors of the above-referenced U.S. Patent application and the co-inventors of the subject matter described and claimed therein.
- 2. Intel Corporation, of Santa Clara, California, is the Assignee of the patent application described above.
 - 3. I have been employed by Intel Corporation from prior to September 10, 2003.
- 4. At least prior to September 10, 2003, we conceived in this country (U.S.A.) the invention claimed in the above-described application.

Docket No.: 42390.P15454 Application No.: 10/334,966

Page 1 of 3

5. As evidence of conception, attached hereto is Exhibit A, which includes an Intel

Invention Disclosure form (numbered 34204) entitled "A System for Alerting Customers of

Service Availability via their Cellular Phone/PDA." These pages are representative of our

inventive work and were created at least as early as September 10, 2003.

6. Exhibit A describes a technique and architecture in which a cellular telephone,

personal digital assistant (PDA), or other customer-provided wireless device may be used to

track availability and status of services from a customer service establishment such as a

restaurant. This is particularly illustrated by the figures on the third and fourth pages of Exhibit

A.

7. The figure on the third page of Exhibit A illustrates a restaurant having a

scheduling/seating system and/or client software for customer tracking and alerting, an end user

with a location-enabled handset and/or client software for customer tracking and alerting, and a

service provider the includes location tracking functionality and/or a customer tracking and

alerting system.

8. The figure on the fourth page of Exhibit A is a flow diagram of an interaction of a

user with a wireless device and a restaurant with a scheduling and seating system. The flow

diagram includes customer tracking and alerting functionality as well as service scheduling and

seating functionality.

9. From at least prior to October 9, 2003, the filing date of the Lovegreen reference,

to constructive reduction to practice (application filing on March 31, 2004), due diligence was

taken in reducing the invention to practice.

Docket No.: 42390.P15454

Exhibit A to the Intel Legal department for review, evaluation and selection for filing a U.S.

Diligence taken by my co-inventors and I includes submission of the document in

Patent application, as well as work with attorney Paul A. Mendonsa to prepare the above-

referenced U.S. Patent application for filing.

10.

11. As evidence of diligence, attached hereto is Exhibit B, which is a printout of an

electronic file record indicating that the file corresponding to the above-referenced U.S. Patent

application was opened on February 14, 2004. Between February 14, 2004 and the filing date of

March 31, 2004, my co-inventors worked with Paul A. Mendonsa to prepare the above-

referenced U.S. Patent application for filing.

12. I hereby declare that all statements made herein of my own knowledge are true

and that all statements made on information and belief are believed to be true; and further that

these statements were made with the knowledge that willful false statements and the like are

punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both, under Section 1001 of Title 18 of the United States

Code, and that such willful false statements may jeopardize the validity of the above-described

application or an patent issued therefrom.

Respectfully submitted,

Uttam K. Sengupta

Lam Kleyt